Suitable factorization of the total intersubband scattering rates for efficient calculation of the current densities and gain characteristics in quantum cascade lasers
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Abstract. Suitable factorization of the intersubband scattering rates is performed for the temperature dependent electron transport model of mid-infrared quantum cascade lasers (QCL). In this case, the total intersubband scattering rate is presented as a sum of individual processes: longitudinal optical phonon, roughness interface, and acoustic phonon scatterings. The individual scattering rate is reduced to a product of the overlap integral for the squared moduli of the envelope functions and the temperature factor that depends on the transition energy and material. This presentation significantly reduces computational efforts in comparison with the \textit{ab initio} models of full quantum transport in QCL preserving good agreement between the theory and experiment, as well.
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1. Introduction

Quantum cascade lasers (QCLs) firstly invented and demonstrated more than 20 years ago at Bell Laboratories [1] are the semiconductor electrically pumped laser sources that use electron transitions between the subbands in the conduction band of a multiple-quantum-well heterostructure. Injected electron makes a small by energy intersubband transition within the quantum well during its motion between tunnel-coupled quantum wells of multilayer heterostructure emitting light in each cascade. While the position of energy levels within a quantum well is mainly determined by one-dimensional confinement, \textit{i.e.}, by the thickness of the layers, rather than the material, the emission wavelength of QCLs spreads over a wide spectral range of infra-red diapason even in the same material system. Recently, QCLs of the mid infrared (MIR) frequency range demonstrate a record high wallplug efficiency (WPE), high continuous wave (CW) output power, single mode operation, and wide tunability [2]. A WPE value of 53\% at 40 K is already reached. Very high peak power close to 190 W has been obtained from a broad area QCL of ridge width 400 µm [2]. Now, QCLs can generate high CW power output up to 5.1 W at room temperature, and cover the spectral range from 3 up to 300 µm by simple varying the material components. Broadband heterogeneous QCLs with the broad spectral range from 3 to 12 µm, wavelength agile QCLs based on monolithic sampled grating design, and on-chip beam QCL combiner are being developed for the next generation tunable mid-infrared source [3, 4]. The far-IR (terahertz) QCLs are now presented by a new class of light sources with room temperature operation in the terahertz (THz) spectral range, with nearly 2 mW of optical power and significant tunability [5-7]. These developments open up the terahertz region of the spectrum for a wide range of applications in biological imaging, medical imaging, security, spectroscopy, and communications [8-11].

However, further development of new QCL sources and optimization of their operation needs also adequate theoretical maintenance. Recently, theoretical models based on Monte Carlo simulations [12], on non-equilibrium Green functions [13, 14] are widely used for calculation of carrier transport and prediction of intersubband gain. As a rule, these models require substantially large computational efforts. An alternative approach combines a one-dimensional system of rate equations with the three-dimensional calculation of intersubband scattering times [15], thus significantly reducing the numerical time. Beside the computing time limitation, it has to be taken into account that QCLs are based on multiple-quantum-well heterostructures with complex subband structure needed to supply sufficient gain for lasing. Calculation of this structure has to be performed including its bias dependence and location of charge as well as the non-parabolicity of the band structure,
scattering effects, and the optical mode confinement. Also, the substantial scattering of the values of parameters such as the layer thicknesses, composition fluctuations, interfaces, unavoidable for multiple-quantum-well heterostructures influence the lasing properties of QCLs. Therefore, sufficiently compact and straightforward models are necessary, in particular for design purposes and QCL optimization for a given wavelength, which, at the same time, predict the gain maximum as well as current densities with reasonable accuracy. Recently, such compact model for the efficient simulation of the gain characteristics in THz QCLs based on the self-consistent solution of the Schrödinger and Poisson equations in the framework of a one-dimensional scattering-rate approach has been developed [16]. In this model, the total intersubband scattering rates were factorized into the squared modulus of the respective dipole matrix elements and an energy-dependent factor, which were used as an approximation for the various scattering processes. It has been shown that the model allows the efficient calculation of the gain characteristics and current densities due to a substantial reduction of numerical effort in case of various THz QCLs based on either the bound-to-continuum design or the resonant-longitudinal-optical-phonon design. Further, this model has been extended to MIR QCLs by including the energy dependence of the intersubband scattering rates for the energies higher than the longitudinal optical phonon energy [17]. This energy dependence was obtained from a phenomenological fit of the intersubband scattering rates based on published lifetimes of a number of MIR QCLs. In the developed model, the total intersubband scattering rate was written as the product of the overlap integral for the squared moduli of the envelope functions and a phenomenological factor that depended only on the transition energy. The model was successfully applied to calculation of low-temperature current-voltage, power-current, and energy-photon flux characteristics for a QCL emitting at 5.2 μm. In view of successful application of our phenomenological scattering-rate model [17] for low-temperature MIR QCLs, in this paper we perform factorization of individual intersubband scattering rates which are longitudinal optical phonon, roughness interface, and acoustic phonon scatterings entering the total intersubband scattering rate, thus extending the model for a wide temperature range. This factorization is a peculiar mathematical procedure that can be further applied for efficient calculation of the current densities and gain characteristics in quantum cascade lasers even for room temperature.

2. Rate equations approach

Following [18], the rate equations that describe the electron dynamics in QCLs can be written as

\[ \frac{dn_i^s}{dt} = \sum_{j \neq i} \left( R_{ji}^s n_j^s - R_{ij}^s n_i^s \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \left( W_{ji}^s n_j^s - W_{ij}^s n_i^s \right). \]  

Here, \( n_i^s \) is the electron sheet density of subband \( i \) which arises due to energy quantization in one dimensional quantum well whereas the in-plane electron motion is free. \( R_{ij} \) stand for the rates of relaxation transitions caused by scattering due to interaction of electrons with phonons, electrons, impurities, and defects in the semiconductor heterostructures. \( W_{ij}^s \) denotes the rates of the stimulated optical transitions between the \( E_i \) and \( E_j \) energy levels which are in resonance with the frequency of optical field \( \omega = \omega_{ij} = |E_i - E_j|/h \) and are proportional to the optical intensities in the corresponding lasing modes [19]. While the QCL is typically modeled as a biased periodic heterostructure the simulation can be restricted to a single representative period far away from the contacts, complemented by the periodic boundary conditions [15]. In this case, the effects like the fabrication tolerance, local fluctuations of the light intensity, domain formation [20], etc. are excluded. For a representative period indexes \( i, j \) in Eq. (1) run over \( 1, \ldots, N \), where \( N \) is the number of subbands in each period, and \( R_{ij}, W_{ij}^s \) include the transitions to all equivalent levels in the different periods. The intersubband scattering rates \( R_{ij} \) can be self-consistently determined using the corresponding Hamiltonian [21, 22]. This approach is based on well known material parameters such as the effective mass. It can be considered as a compromise between needed accuracy, on the one hand, and relative numerical efficiency, on the other hand, for simulation of QCLs.

2.1. Factorization of the scattering rate caused by LO phonons

In case of lightly doped semiconductors used in QCLs, we have \( |E_k - E_F| >> k_B T_e \) and the Fermi–Dirac distribution approaches a classical Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution \( f_{MB}(k) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(-\frac{h^2 k^2}{2 m^* k_B T_e} \right) m^* k_B T_e \), where \( k_B, m^* \), and \( T_e \) are the Boltzmann constant, effective mass and temperature for electrons, respectively.

The transition rate \( R_{ij} \) for a particular intersubband scattering mechanism \( s \) in QCLs can be written as in [22]:

\[ R_{ij}^s = \int_0^\infty dk k R_{ij}^s(k) f_{MB}(k) \ . \]  

(2.1.1)

Here, \( R_{ij}^s(k) \) is the total transition rate of electron from a state with momentum \( k \) in the initial \( i \)-th subband to the states in the \( j \)-th subband.

For scattering by longitudinal optical phonons, the function \( R_{ij}^s(k) \) has the form [21]:

\[ R_{ij}^{LO}(k) = \alpha_{LO} \int_0^\infty dK_z F_{ij}^{LO}(k, K_z) G_{ij}(K_z) K_z^2 \ , \]  

(2.1.2)

where

\[ F_{ij}^{LO}(k, K_z) = \Theta \left( k^2 + \frac{2m^* \Delta_{ij}^{LO}}{h^2} \right) \times \]
\[
\times \left[ K_z^2 + 2K_z^2 \left( 2k^2 + \frac{2m^* \Delta_{ij}^{LO}}{\hbar^2} \right) + \left( \frac{2m^* \Delta_{ij}^{LO}}{\hbar^2} \right)^2 \right]^{-1/2},
\]
and the form factor for phonon wavevector \( k \) is defined as
\[
G_{ij}(K_z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_i \psi_i^*(z) \epsilon(-iK_z) \psi_j(z).
\]
\[
\alpha_{LO} = \frac{m^*}{2\hbar} \left( \epsilon_{LO} + \epsilon_s \right), \quad \Theta \text{ is the Heaviside step function, and } \Delta_{ij}^{LO} = E_i - E_j + F_{ij}.
\]

The second term in Eq. (2.1.2) tends to zero, whereas for calculation of the first term we apply the first mean value theorem for definite integrals. While the function \( Q_y (K_z) \) is continuous on the interval \([0, K_z^{lim}]\), and the function \( |G_y(K_z)|^2 \) is an integrable function that does not change its sign on the same interval, then there exists the point \( K_z^* \) in the interval \([0, K_z^{lim}]\) such that
\[
R_{ij}^{LO} = 2\alpha_{LO} \lim_{K_z^{lim} \to 0} \int_0^{K_z^{lim}} dk K_z G_y(K_z)^2 Q_y(K_z) = 2\alpha_{LO} \lim_{K_z^{lim} \to 0} \int_0^{K_z^{lim}} dk K_z G_y(K_z)^2 Q_y(K_z).
\]

For the large value of \( K_z^{lim} \), \( K_z \to \text{const} \) and Eq. (2.1.10) takes the form
\[
R_{ij}^{LO} = 2\alpha_{LO} \lim_{K_z^{lim} \to 0} \int_0^{K_z^{lim}} dk K_z G_y(K_z)^2 Q_y(K_z) = 2\alpha_{LO} \lim_{K_z^{lim} \to 0} \int_0^{K_z^{lim}} dk K_z G_y(K_z)^2 Q_y(K_z).
\]

This equation can be rewritten in terms of integral of overlapping for the electron wavefunctions, \( \zeta_{ij} \):
\[
R_{ij}^{LO} = 2\alpha_{LO} \lim_{K_z^{lim} \to 0} \int_0^{K_z^{lim}} dk K_z G_y(K_z)^2 Q_y(K_z).
\]

2.2. Factorization of the scattering rates caused by acoustic phonons

To calculate the acoustic phonon scattering rate, we take into account that the energies of acoustic phonons \( \omega_\alpha \) are as usual significantly lower than the typical intersubband separations \( \Delta E_{ij} = E_j - E_i \), which can be of several hundred meV by their value. Besides, the acoustic phonon branch can be approximated by a linear function of phonon wave vector module \( K \), \( \omega_\alpha = v_s K \), where \( v_s \)
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is a velocity of a sound wave. In this case, the transition rate \( R_{ij}^A \) for acoustic phonon scattering can be written in accord with Eq. (2.1.1) as

\[
R_{ij}^A = \int_0^\infty dk R_{ij}^A(k)f_{MB}(k).
\]

(2.2.1)

Here, \( R_{ij}^A(k) \) defines the rate for electron from a state with the momentum \( k \) in the initial \( i \)-th subband to transition into all states in the \( j \)-th subband with participation of acoustic phonons. Following Ref. [21], the function \( R_{ij}^A(k) \) can be calculated using the expression:

\[
R_{ij}^A(k) = \frac{D_i^2}{2\rho v_s(2\pi)^2} \times \int\int\int \left( N_A + \left[ \frac{1}{2} - 2\pi \delta(E_{j,k} - E_{i,k} + \hbar\omega_A) \right] dK_x dK_y \right).
\]

(2.2.2)

where \( D_i \) is an acoustic deformation potential, \( \rho \) is the crystal density, \( N_A \) is a phonon occupation number of a mode with the wave vector \( \vec{K} \):

\[
N_A = \left[ \exp\left( \frac{\hbar\omega_A}{k_B T_1} \right) - 1 \right]^{-1}.
\]

(2.2.3)

\( \vec{K}_{xy} \) and \( K_z \) are in-plane and perpendicular components of phonon wave vector:

\[
E_{ik} = E_i + \frac{h^2 k_x^2}{2m_x} \quad \text{and} \quad E_{jk} = E_j + \frac{h^2 k_z^2}{2m_z}.
\]

Let us take into account that for all but the lowest temperatures Eq. (2.2.3) can be approximated with [22]

\[
N_A = N_A + 1 = \frac{k_B T_1}{\hbar v_s K},
\]

(2.2.4)

and that due to inequality \( \hbar\omega_A < \Delta E_{ij} \) the phonon energy can be put zero in Eq. (2.2.2) (the quasi-elastic approximation). In this case, introducing the polar coordinates for the in-plane phonon vector \( \vec{K}_{xy} \), Eq. (2.2.2) can be reduced to the form as follows:

\[
R_{ij}^A(k) = \frac{k_B T_1 m^* D_i^2}{\rho v_s(2\pi)^2} \times \int\int\int \left( \frac{K_{xy}^2 + 2k_c K_{xy}\cos\phi + \frac{2m^*\Delta E_{ij}}{2\hbar^2}}{2\hbar^2} \right) K_{xy} dK_{xy} dK_c.
\]

(2.2.5)

Performing integration over \( K_c \) and \( K_{xy} \) in Eq. (2.2.5), one gets:

\[
R_{ij}^A(k) = \alpha_A 2\pi \zeta_{ij} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{\Theta(v_1) + \Theta(v_2)v_1}{v_1 - v_2} \right) dv_1 dv_2.
\]

(2.2.6)

with

\[
\alpha_A = \frac{k_B T_1 m^* D_i^2}{\rho \nu v_s (2\pi)^2}.
\]

(2.2.7)

As can be seen due to step functions, \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) in the numerator of Eq. (2.2.6) have to be positive and \( v_1 > v_2 \). If \( \Delta E < 0 \), \( v_1 < 0 \) and Eq. (2.2.6) reduces to

\[
R_{ij}^A(k) = \alpha_A 2\pi \zeta_{ij} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{-\cos\phi + \left( \frac{k_c \cos\phi}{2\hbar^2} - \frac{\Delta E_{ij}}{h^2} \right)^2}{2\left( \frac{k_c \cos\phi}{2\hbar^2} - \frac{\Delta E_{ij}}{h^2} \right)^2} \right) d\phi = \alpha_A 2\pi \zeta_{ij}.
\]

(2.2.8)

If \( \Delta E > 0 \), \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) can be positive only in the case of \( \cos\phi < 0 \) and \( R_{ij}^A(k) \) takes the form

\[
R_{ij}^A(k) = \alpha_A 2\pi \zeta_{ij} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{-\cos\phi}{2\left( \frac{k_c \cos\phi}{2\hbar^2} - \frac{\Delta E_{ij}}{h^2} \right)^2} \right) d\phi = \alpha_A 2\pi \zeta_{ij}.
\]

(2.2.9)

Introducing new variable \( y = \sin\phi \), the integrand can be presented in the following form:

\[
R_{ij}^A(k) = \alpha_A 2\pi \zeta_{ij} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{dy}{\sqrt{a} - y^2} \right) = \alpha_A 2\pi \zeta_{ij} \int_0^{\sqrt{a}} \left( \frac{dy}{\sqrt{a} - y^2} \right) = \alpha_A 2\pi \zeta_{ij} \arcsin\left( \frac{\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{a}} \right) = 2\alpha_A \pi \zeta_{ij}.\pi.
\]

(2.2.10)

with \( a = \left( 1 - \frac{\Delta E_{ij}}{\hbar^2 k_c^2} \right) \).

It follows from Eq. (2.2.8) and (2.2.10) that \( R_{ij}^A(k) \) is zero if \( E_j > E_{ik} \) in the quasi-elastic approximation and \( R_{ij}^A(k) = 2\alpha_A \pi^2 \zeta_{ij} \) otherwise. Inserting these results in Eq. (2.2.1) and integrating over \( k \), we get:

\[
R_{ij}^A = R_{ij}^A(k),
\]

(2.2.11)

that coincides with the results by Jirauschek et al. [22], if both emission and absorption will be included by an additional factor of 2.

2.3. Factorization of the scattering rates caused by interface roughness

In the mid-IR QCLs, the main origin of broadening is interface roughness, and it is well known that different transitions see different broadenings [24]. Interface roughness scattering (ifr) is caused by imperfections at
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the interface between the barrier and well material in the heterostructure, resulting in a local deviation of the interface $\Delta(x, y)$ from its average position. Normally the interface roughness is characterized by its standard deviation $\Delta$ and the correlation length $\Lambda$. Following Refs. [22, 24], the total transition rate from an initial state $E_i$ to all states of $E_j$ is defined by the expressions:

$$R_{ij}^{\text{th}}(k) = \frac{m^*}{\hbar^2} \Delta^2 \lambda^2 V_0^2 \times$$

$$\times \sum_n \psi_i(z_n) \psi_j(z_n) \int_0^\infty d\phi \exp \left[ -\Delta^2 q^2(\phi)/4 \right] \Theta(q(\phi)) =$$

$$= \alpha_{ij} \sum_n \psi_i(z_n) \psi_j(z_n) \exp \left[ -\Delta^2 q^2(\phi)/4 \right] \Theta(q(\phi)) \quad (2.3.1)$$

with $q^2(\phi) = 2k^2 + q_0^2 - 2k\sqrt{k^2 + q_0^2} \cos \phi$, $q_0 = \frac{2m^*}{\hbar^2} (E_j - E_i)/h^2$, $\alpha_{ij} = \frac{m^*}{\hbar^2} \Delta^2 \lambda^2 V_0^2$, and

$$\xi_{ij} = \sum_n \psi_i(z_n) \psi_j(z_n) |z_n|^2 .$$

Here, $V_0$ is the average band offset, and the sum is taken over all the interfaces located at the positions $z_n$. In the case $E_j > E_i$, $q^2(\phi) > 0$ for all values of $k$ and $\phi$, whereas if $E_j < E_i$, $q^2(\phi)$ is real only for all $k^2 > |q_0^2|$ and for all $\phi$ values. Under these conditions, integration over $[0, \pi]$ by $\phi$ can be performed in Eq. (2.3.1), which leads to

$$R_{ij}^{\text{th}}(k) = \alpha_{ij} \xi_{ij} \pi \exp \left[ -\frac{\Lambda^2}{4} (2k^2 + q_0^2) \right] I_0 \left( \frac{\Lambda^2}{2k} k^2 + q_0^2 \right) .$$

(2.3.2)

where $I_0(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind [23].

Inserting Eq. (2.3.2) into Eq. (2.1.1), the total rate for interface roughness scattering takes the form:

$$R_{ij}^{\text{th}} = \frac{\pi \hbar^2}{m^* k_B T_e} \alpha_{ij} \xi_{ij} \times$$

$$\times \int_0^\infty \! \! \! \int \! \! \! dk d\phi \exp \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m^* k_B T_e} - \frac{\Lambda^2}{4} (2k^2 + q_0^2) \right] I_0 \left( \frac{\Lambda^2}{2k} k^2 + q_0^2 \right) .$$

(2.3.3)

To integrate Eq. (2.3.3) over all the interval $[0, +\infty)$, one has to take into account the asymptotical behavior of the function $I_0(x)$ [23]:

$$I_0(x) = \frac{\exp(x)}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \left[ 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) \right] \quad \text{at} \quad x \to \infty$$

and

$$I_0(x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left( \frac{x^4}{(k!)^2} \right) \quad \text{at} \quad x \to 0 .$$

(2.3.4)

According to Eq. (2.3.4), the function $I_0(x)$ equals 1 for $x = 0$ and rapidly grows under $x$ value increase staying all time positive. Nevertheless, the integrand in Eq. (2.3.3), taking into account Eq. (2.3.4) for large value of argument, can be reduced to

$$F_2 = \exp \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m^* k_B T_e} - \frac{\Lambda^2}{4} (2k^2 + q_0^2) \right] I_0 \left( \frac{\Lambda^2}{2k} k^2 + q_0^2 \right) =$$

$$= \exp \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m^* k_B T_e} - \frac{\Lambda^2}{4} (2k^2 + q_0^2) \right] \frac{\Lambda^2}{2k} k^2 + q_0^2$$

and further, if $k^2 >> q_0^2$, to

$$F_2 = \frac{1}{\pi k^2} \exp \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m^* k_B T_e} \right].$$

(2.3.5)

Thus, the integral in Eq. (2.3.3) is convergent under integration over all the interval $[0, \infty)$. Analytically, Eq. (2.3.3) can be solved in the case of $k^2 < q_0^2$. Taking $k^2 + q_0^2 = k q_0$ in the argument of the Bessel function, one gets:

$$R_{ij}^{\text{th}} = \frac{\pi \hbar^2}{m^* k_B T_e} \alpha_{ij} \xi_{ij} \times$$

$$\times \int_0^\infty \! \! \! \int \! \! \! dk d\phi \exp \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m^* k_B T_e} - \frac{\Lambda^2}{4} (2k^2 + q_0^2) \right] I_0 \left( \frac{\Lambda^2}{2k} k q_0 \right) =$$

$$= \frac{\pi \hbar^2}{m^* k_B T_e} \alpha_{ij} \xi_{ij} \exp \left[ -\frac{\Lambda^2 q_0^2}{4} \right] \times$$

$$\times \int_0^\infty \! \! \! \int \! \! \! dk d\phi \exp \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m^* k_B T_e} - \frac{\Lambda^2}{2} k \right] I_0 \left( \frac{\Lambda^2}{2k} k q_0 \right).$$

(2.3.6)

The integral in Eq. (2.3.6) belongs to the following type:

$$R_n = \int_0^\infty \! \! \! \int \! \! \! dz \exp(-a^2 z^2) I_0(bz) dz = \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) (2a)^{-1} L_n(b^2/4a),$$

where $a, b, n > 0$ and $L_n$ is the Laguerre polynomial. For $2n-1=1$, one has

$$R_1 = \int_0^\infty \! \! \! \int \! \! \! dz \exp(-a^2 z^2) I_0(bz) = \frac{1}{2a} \exp(b^2/4a).$$

(2.3.7)

Applying Eq. (2.3.7) to Eq. (2.3.8), one can derive:

$$R_{ij}^{\text{th}} = \frac{\pi \hbar^2}{m^* k_B T_e} \alpha_{ij} \xi_{ij} \times$$

$$\times \exp \left[ -\frac{\Lambda^2 q_0^2}{4} \right] \left[ \frac{2a}{m^* k_B T_e} + \frac{\Lambda^2}{2} \right].$$

(2.3.8)

The problem is that in Eq. (2.3.6) the upper limit of integration tends $\infty$. It means that the condition $k^2 < q_0^2$ inevitably becomes broken for large values of the wave vector $k$. Nevertheless, it occurs that the integrand in Eq. (2.3.6) differs noticeably from zero only within the interval $(0, k_0)$, where an effective value of $k_0$ meets the condition $k^2 < q_0^2$ for practically the most important interval of $\Delta E_{ij}$ meanings from 100 up to 500 meV. While integration from $k_0$ to $\infty$ does not contribute to the total value of definite integral in Eq. (2.3.6), integration can be formally extended from 0 to $\infty$. By analogy in case of small $X$ values in Eq. (2.3.4), Eq. (2.3.3) will be written as
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Using Eq. (2.3.10), $R_{ij}^{ff}$ in Eq. (2.3.9) can be presented in the explicit form

$$R_{ij}^{ff} = \frac{\pi\hbar^2}{m^* k_B T_e} \alpha_{ij} \zeta_{ij} \exp\left(-\frac{\Lambda^2 q_0^2}{4}\right)$$

$$\times \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k^2} \exp\left[-\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m^* k_B T_e} - \frac{\Lambda^2}{2} k^2\right]$$

$$\times \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k_i^2)^2} \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{2} k^2 + q_0^2\right)^2 / 4$$

(2.3.11)

with $\beta = \hbar^2 (2m^* k_B T_e)^{-1} + \Lambda^2 / 2$ and $\tilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda / 2$.

In the case when $E_j > E_i$, integration in Eq. (2.3.3) has to be performed from $|q_0|$ to $\infty$. That leads to zero contribution following the arguments given above.

3. Conclusions

Suitable factorization of the intersubband scattering rates has been performed for the temperature dependent electron transport model of mid-infrared quantum cascade lasers. In this case, the total intersubband scattering rate is presented as a sum of individual processes: longitudinal optical phonon, roughness interface, and acoustic phonon scatterings. The individual scattering rate is reduced to a product of the overlap integral for the squared moduli of the envelope functions and the temperature factor that depends on the transition energy and material. In this case, the rate equations written for electron in the state $(i,k)$

$$\frac{dn_{i,k}}{dt} = \sum_{i_f} \sum_{j} n_{j,k^*} R_{j,k^*:i,k} - n_{i,k} \sum_{j} R_{i,k^*:j,k}$$

(3.1)

reduces to the form

$$\frac{dn_i}{dt} = \sum_j \zeta_{ji} \{n_j \rho_{ji}(E_j) - n_i \rho_{ij}(E_j)\}$$

(3.2)

where integration over wave vector $\vec{k}$ is already performed analytically. This presentation significantly reduces computational efforts in comparison with the ab initio models of full quantum transport in QCL preserving also good agreement between theory and experiment.
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