Comparison of optical properties of TiO$_2$ thin films prepared by reactive magnetron sputtering and electron-beam evaporation techniques
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Abstract. The envelope method was used to determine optical constants of TiO$_2$ thin films deposited by DC reactive magnetron sputtering and electron-beam evaporation techniques. The density and thickness of the thin films were calculated. Optical properties of the TiO$_2$ thin films were strongly dependent on the deposition technology. The TiO$_2$ thin films prepared by magnetron sputtering and electron-beam evaporation methods were established to be indirect band semiconductors with the band gap energies 3.15 and 3.43 eV, respectively.
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1. Introduction

During the recent decade, titanium dioxide has been one of the most promising materials among transparent, conductive oxides. Today, many researches are interested in TiO$_2$ thin films and their application in different optical and photoelectrical devices. TiO$_2$ thin film can be efficiently applied in antireflective coatings, optical filters, wide band gap “windows” for solar cells, etc., due to their high transparency for visible light, large value of refractive index, controllable specific electric resistance and good chemical resistance [1–3].

A number of techniques are used for preparation of TiO$_2$ thin films, in particular, magnetron sputtering, electron-beam evaporation, spray pyrolysis, etc.

It is worth noting that the structure, optical and electrical properties of TiO$_2$ thin films are strongly dependent on deposition technology.

The optical properties of TiO$_2$ thin films prepared by two techniques: DC reactive magnetron sputtering (TiO$_2$(M) thin films) and electron-beam evaporation (TiO$_2$(EB) thin films) techniques have been compared in this work. The envelope method was used to analyze transmission spectra with peaks and valleys induced by interference effects in thin films for evaluating their optical constants: refractive index $n(\lambda)$, absorption coefficient $\alpha(\lambda)$ and extinction coefficient $k(\lambda)$ [4–7].

2. Experimental details

The TiO$_2$(M) and TiO$_2$(EB) thin films were deposited onto cover glass substrates in an universal coating system “Laybold-Heraeus L560” using DC reactive magnetron sputtering and electron-beam evaporation techniques, respectively.

Before starting the deposition processes, the vacuum chamber was pumped down to a residual pressure of $5 \times 10^{-5}$ mbar.

For deposition of TiO$_2$(M) thin film, the titanium target (99.99%), a cylinder 100 mm in diameter and 5 mm thick, was mounted, on the magnetron table under water cooling and 7 cm from the substrates.

A short-term sputter-cleaning of the target and substrates by Ar ions was applied to eliminate the surface contaminants.

The mixture of pure argon and oxygen in the desirable ratio was prepared using two separate sources.
During the deposition process, the partial pressures of argon and oxygen were equal to $5 \times 10^{-3}$ and $2 \times 10^{-4}$ mbar, respectively. The set up magnetron power was 350 W. The deposition process lasted 20 min.

The TiO$_2$(EB) thin film deposition was carried out by electron-beam evaporation of pressed and sintered pellets from titanium dioxide powder (99.99%) with anatase structure. The pellets (9 mm in diameter) were pressed by a hydraulic press under a pressure of 3000 kilos/cm$^2$. This pressure was enough to provide the desirable mechanical strengths of the pellets for further technological operations. The pellets were sintered in air at 700 °C for 5 hours.

The electron beam intensity, deposition rate and film thicknesses were controlled by means of an INFICON XTC deposition controller.

It is worth noting that any additional heating of the substrates was not applied in either of the techniques. The equilibrium temperatures of the substrates induced by the technological heat for the magnetron sputtering and electron-beam evaporation processes were 200 °C and 100 °C, respectively.

The transmission spectra of TiO$_2$(M) and TiO$_2$(EB) thin films were obtained by means of a conventional spectrophotometer. The experimental data were measured within the range of wavelengths from 200 up to 1100 nm with 1 nm step.

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows the transmission spectrum of a clean glass substrate and that of the TiO$_2$(M) thin film – substrate and TiO$_2$(EB) thin film – substrate combinations. It is easy to see that the glass substrate remains entirely transparent near the intrinsic absorption edge of the TiO$_2$ thin films. This condition provides an unambiguous interpretation of the results of the experiment. Additionally, the transmission spectra of the TiO$_2$ thin films possess periodic peaks and valleys induced by interference effects indicating a high structural perfection of these thin films.

![Transmission spectra of the clean glass substrate (1), TiO$_2$(EB) thin film – substrate combination (2), TiO$_2$(M) thin film – substrate combination (3).](image)

The optical properties of a thin film (refractive index $n(\lambda)$, absorption coefficient $\alpha(\lambda)$, extinction coefficient $k(\lambda)$ and thickness $d$) can be easily evaluated from a transmission spectrum with interference effects using the envelope method [4 – 7]. This method is applicable in the case of a weakly absorbing thin film on an entirely transparent substrate that is much thicker than the thin film. It is worth noting that these conditions are met in this work.

The envelope curves $T_{\text{max}}(\lambda)$ and $T_{\text{min}}(\lambda)$ form the foundation of the envelope method. They can be obtained by means of parabolic extrapolation of experimentally determined points that correspond with the location of interference maxima and minima (Fig. 2).

Having obtained the envelope curves, the refractive index $n(\lambda)$ of the TiO$_2$ thin films can be calculated with the following equation:

$$n(\lambda) = \left[ \frac{2n_s(T_M(\lambda)-T_m(\lambda))}{T_M(\lambda)T_m(\lambda)} + \frac{n_s^2 + 1}{2} \right]^{1/2} + \sqrt{\frac{2n_s(T_M(\lambda)-T_m(\lambda))}{T_M(\lambda)T_m(\lambda)}} \left( \frac{n_s^2 + 1}{2} \right)^{1/2} - n_s^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$

Here, $n_s$ is the refractive index of the substrate:

$$n_s = \frac{1}{T_s} - \frac{1}{T_s} - 1,$$

where $T_s$ is the substrate transmittance in the transparent zone. For the cover glass substrate $T_s = 0.91$ (Fig. 1), hence following the equation (2) $n_s = 1.554$.

It should be emphasized that equation (1) is valid only within the interference zone. Outside this zone, the refractive index can be determined using an extrapolation of calculated data [5].

As one can see from Fig. 3, the refractive index, $n(\lambda)$, of the TiO$_2$(M) thin film is essentially larger than that of the TiO$_2$(EB) thin film. The increase in the refractive indexes at wavelengths $\lambda < 500$ nm corresponds to the decrease in transparency near the edge of intrinsic absorption in the TiO$_2$ thin films.

The linear relationship between the density and the refractive index of a TiO$_2$ thin film can be expressed as follows:

$$\rho = \frac{n(550) - 0.91933}{0.42751},$$

where $\rho$ is the density of a TiO$_2$ thin film (g/cm$^3$) and $n(550)$ is the refractive index of a TiO$_2$ thin film at the wavelength $\lambda = 550$ nm [8].

The refractive index of the TiO$_2$(M) thin film and that of the TiO$_2$(EB) thin film at the wavelength 550 nm is equal to 2.51 and 2.23, respectively (Fig. 3). The density of the TiO$_2$(M) and TiO$_2$(EB) thin films calculated from the equation (3) is equal to 3.72 and 3.06 g/cm$^3$, respectively.
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The difference in the refractive indexes and densities of the TiO$_2$(M) and TiO$_2$(EB) thin films is caused by the higher kinetic energy inherent to thin film deposition by magnetron sputtering compared to the electron-beam evaporation technique. The deposition process with a higher kinetic energy results in a higher density as well as higher refractive index.

Following the envelope method, the next step is to calculate the thickness of the film:

\[
d = \frac{A \lambda_1 \lambda_2}{2 [n(\lambda_1) \lambda_2 - n(\lambda_2) \lambda_1]},
\]

where \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are the wavelengths that correspond to adjacent extreme points in the transmission spectrum, \( A = 1 \) for two matching adjacent extremes, and \( A = 0.5 \) for two non-matching adjacent extremes. The values of the TiO$_2$(M) and (EB) films’ thicknesses were calculated using the equation (4) for all combinations of adjacent extremes and were averaged, resulting in \( d_{(M)} = 0.315 \) \( \mu \)m and \( d_{(EB)} = 0.385 \) \( \mu \)m.

The absorption coefficients of the TiO$_2$(M) and TiO$_2$(EB) thin films can be evaluated from the following equation:

\[
\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda_1 n(\lambda_1) - n(\lambda_2) + n(\lambda_1) + n(\lambda_2)}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{T_{(M)}(\lambda)}{T_{(M)}(\lambda)} \right]^{1/2} + 1 \left[ \frac{T_{(EB)}(\lambda)}{T_{(EB)}(\lambda)} \right]^{1/2} - 1. \]

(5)

Fig. 4 shows the absorption coefficient \( \alpha(\lambda) \) as a function of the wavelength for both of TiO$_2$ thin films. One can see an abrupt increase of the absorption coefficients in short wavelength regions near the intrinsic absorption edges of the TiO$_2$(M) and TiO$_2$(EB) thin films as well as a smooth decrease of the absorption coefficients as the wavelength increases (\( \lambda > 500 \) nm). Finally, the extinction coefficient \( k(\lambda) \) can be calculated from the following equation \( k(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda \alpha(\lambda)}{4\pi} \) (Fig. 5). It is seen in the figure that the extinction coefficients also abruptly increase near the intrinsic absorption edges of the TiO$_2$ thin films. It is interesting that the extinction coefficient of the TiO$_2$(EB) thin film, as opposed to the absorption coefficient of the TiO$_2$(M), rises linearly...
within the transparent region (\(\lambda > 500 \text{ nm}\)) as the wavelength increases.

The envelope method can be applied only in the high transparency region of a thin film. Since the following conditions are true in the narrow wavelength region (330 < \(\lambda < 400 \text{ nm}\)): strong absorption in the TiO\(_2\) thin film, the entirely transparent substrate and \(n(\lambda)^2 \gg k(\lambda)^2\)\(^{1}\), the absorption coefficient \(a(\lambda)\) (330 < \(\lambda < 400 \text{ nm}\)) of the TiO\(_2\) thin film can be determined from the following equation [10]:

\[
\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{1}{d} \ln \left[ \frac{(1-R_1(\lambda))(1-R_2(\lambda))(1-R_{12}(\lambda))}{T(\lambda)} \right],
\]

where \(T(\lambda)\) is the optical transmittance, \(R_1(\lambda), R_2(\lambda)\) and \(R_{12}(\lambda)\) are the reflection coefficients for the following interfaces: air – TiO\(_2\) thin film, TiO\(_2\) thin film – substrate, substrate – air. Assuming that \(n(\lambda)^2 \gg k(\lambda)^2\) the reflection coefficients can be expressed by the following equations:

\[
R_1(\lambda) = \frac{(n(\lambda)-1)^2}{(n(\lambda)+1)^2}, \quad R_2(\lambda) = \left(1 - \frac{n_s}{n_s + n(\lambda)}\right)^2, \quad R_{12}(\lambda) = \left(\frac{n_s - n(\lambda)}{n_s + n(\lambda)}\right)^2.
\]

The absorption coefficient of the TiO\(_2\)(M) and TiO\(_2\)(EB) thin films were analyzed by equation (7) in the fundamental absorption zone of the thin films:

\[
\alpha h \nu = B (h \nu - E_g)^2,
\]

where \(B\) is the constant that possesses different values for TiO\(_2\)(M) and TiO\(_2\)(EB) thin films. This dependence of \(\alpha h \nu\) provides evidence that the TiO\(_2\) thin films deposited by DC reactive magnetron sputtering and electron-beam evaporation techniques are indirect band gap semiconductors. Also, the band gap energies of TiO\(_2\)(M) and TiO\(_2\)(EB) thin films were determined by the interception of an extrapolated linear sections of the appropriate \((\alpha h \nu)^{1/2}\) vs \(h \nu\) curves with the photon energy axis (Fig. 6). The resultant values of the band gap energies \(E_g(\text{M}) = 3.15\) eV and \(E_g(\text{EB}) = 3.43\) eV, respectively, are well correlated with the reported data [9, 11].

Taking into account the small density (3.06 g/cm\(^3\)) and large value of the band gap energy \((E_g(\text{EB}) = 3.43\) eV\), the TiO\(_2\)(EB) thin film may be considered as consisting mainly of an amorphous phase [8, 12]. The amorphous phase can be induced by the low temperature (100 °C) during the thin film deposition. It is quite obvious that this suggestion must be checked experimentally.

\(^1\) TiO\(_2\) is an indirect band semiconductor (relatively small value of the extinction coefficient \(k\)) with the largest value of the refractive index among transparent conductive oxides [9]. That is why the inequality \(n(\lambda)^2 \gg k(\lambda)^2\) is valid even within the strong absorption zone of a TiO\(_2\) thin film.

Fig. 6. The dependence \((\alpha h \nu)^{1/2}\) vs \(h \nu\) for TiO\(_2\)(M) (1) and TiO\(_2\)(EB) (2) thin films.

4. Conclusions

TiO\(_2\) thin films were deposited onto cover class substrates by DC reactive magnetron sputtering and electron-beam evaporation techniques.

The transmission spectra of TiO\(_2\)(M) and TiO\(_2\)(EB) thin films were measured within the wavelength range from 200 up to 1100 nm with 1-nm step. The transmission spectra of the TiO\(_2\) thin films possess periodic peaks and valleys induced by interference effects. The envelope method was employed to determine the thickness \(d\) and optical properties of the thin films, in particular, the refractive index \(n(\lambda)\), the absorption coefficient \(a(\lambda)\) and extinction coefficient \(k(\lambda)\) as the functions of the wavelength. The densities of TiO\(_2\)(M) and TiO\(_2\)(EB) thin films were calculated to be 3.72 and 3.05 g/cm\(^3\), respectively.

The TiO\(_2\)(M) and TiO\(_2\)(EB) thin films were established to be indirect band gap semiconductors with the band gap energies 3.15 and 3.43 eV, respectively.
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